### **Cryogenic DT targets**

D. R. Harding University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics HAPL Meeting San Diego, CA 9 August 2006

#### Summary

 Commissioned the OMEGA Cryogenic Target Handling System with tritium (0.05%, 1%, 13%, 55% T)

UR 🔌

- Quantified tritium migration through various handling processes
- Demonstrated a very smooth DT ice layer (0.73-μm rms) on all Legendre modes and over the entire surface
- Quality of the ice layer is determined by the ability to form a single ice crystal—range is 0.7- to 1.5-µm rms for the best quality crystals
- It is necessary to freeze DT over a greater temperature range than it is for D<sub>2</sub>, presumably due to the difference in the freezing temperature for the isotopes.
- The performance of DT layers confirms suspicion of the cause of the ice-thickness variation in D<sub>2</sub> targets

### Low amounts of tritium can effectively layer a target, albeit not to the required smoothness

UR :



### We have demonstrated a DT (45:55) target with an ice roughness of 0.73- $\mu$ m rms for all modes



# A 1- $\mu$ m ice layer was successfully imploded within 10- $\mu$ m of the desired position



- ~1-μm-rms layer appears intact at T<sub>0</sub> (ie, no Q-DT melting)
- Pulse-shape metrics achieved (note high-predicted ρR)
- Little vibration; small TCC offset
- Lost two beams

Shot 44240 (20 July 06) Ice =  $\sim$ 0.8- $\mu$ m rms (~250 mK below TP)  $Y_{DD} = n/a$  $Y_{DT} = 2.43 \times 10^{12}$ (YOC = 5.7%)*T*<sub>ion</sub> = 2.0 keV (1-D:keV) Bang = tbd ns (1-D:ns)Burn = tbd ps Energy = 23.9 kJ(HE307101P, SSD on) Offset = 10 µm  $(\theta = 71^{\circ}, \phi = 329^{\circ})$  $<\rho R >_n = n/a mg/cm^2$ (1-D:281 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)  $\alpha$  (1-D) = Absorption (1-D) = 47%

### Growing an ice layer from a single seed crystal is essential to forming a smooth layer



Need to freeze DT over a temperature range of 50 to 70 mK to form the layer; this is different from D<sub>2</sub> targets and is presumed due to the different freezing points of  $D_2$ , DT, and  $T_2$  isotopes

UR 🔌



Side view

## The sequence of melting and reforming the ice layer is critical for achieving the desired smoothness



Temperature changes of 50 mK and times in excess of 5 hrs were required.

# Apparent ice smoothness is affected by the connectivity of the "bright band" and, therefore, the ability to form a single ice crystal



- Are we approaching the sensitivity limit of shadowgraphy?
- Are small, high-frequency deviations in the bright band due to effects on the ice surface OR effects within the ice layer OR on the plastic ablator?

The quality of the ice layer at the time of the shot is determined primarily by the time the target is in a vacuum with insufficient He gas

Removing the shrouds to expose the target first removes the helium exchange gas from around the target. This allows the ice to warm at a rate of 1 K/15 s and slump at a rate of ~1  $\mu$ m/s.

UR 🔬



T2261

#### Evidence of bubble formation occurring in a partially slumped layer as it relayers





#### Summary

 Commissioned the OMEGA Cryogenic Target Handling System with tritium (0.05%, 1%, 13%, 55% T)

UR 🔌

- Quantified tritium migration through various handling processes
- Demonstrated a very smooth DT ice layer (0.73-μm rms) on all Legendre modes and over the entire surface
- Quality of the ice layer is determined by the ability to form a single ice crystal—range is 0.7- to 1.5-µm rms for the best quality crystals
- It is necessary to freeze DT over a greater temperature range than it is for D<sub>2</sub>, presumably due to the difference in the freezing temperature for the isotopes.
- The performance of DT layers confirms suspicion of the cause of the ice-thickness variation in D<sub>2</sub> targets