

Ryan Abbott & Jeff Latkowski HAPL Program Workshop Livermore, CA, June 20, 2005



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-ENG-48



Laser profile and target spectra are the first ingredients in determining final optic temperature and stress conditions





• With consideration of system geometry, the raw target output spectra for x-rays and burn & debris ions can be translated into inputs for RadHeat



RadHeat is a transient heat transfer code that can handle multilayer targets in pulsed radiation environments...





 Robust implicit time-stepping, temperature dependent material properties, flexible meshing and a host of other features make RadHeat an ideal tool for studying transient thermal processes in IFE chamber component studies





... that has been benchmarked with good success



$$T = (T_i - T_f) \cdot \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[C_n e^{-(\zeta_n)^2 \cdot \frac{\alpha \cdot t}{L^2}} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{x}{L} \cdot \zeta_n\right) \right] \right] + T_f$$
$$C_n = \frac{4 \cdot \sin(\zeta_n)}{2 \cdot \zeta_n + \sin(2 \cdot \zeta_n)}$$

Response of semi-infinite wall to heating from penetrating photon irradiation





Both reflective and transmissive candidates are being considered for IFE final optics



- The base-case KrF (4ω) final optic is a grazing incidence metal mirror (GIMM) made from aluminum and oriented 85° to achieve 99% reflectivity
- DPSSL (2ω or 3ω) also has the option of using a transmissive SiO₂ Fresnel lens with 5% absorption when at 300 C



Fluence limitations on both approaches must be put in the proper context

	GIMM @ 85°	Fresnel
Normal to beam laser fluence goal	5.0e+00 J/cm ²	2.0e+00 J/cm ²
Corresponding optic normal fluence	4.4e-01 J/cm ²	2.0e+00 J/cm ²
Normal to beam fluence absorbed	4.4e-03 J/cm ²	1.0e-01 J/cm ²
Specific heating to optic	2.9e+01 J/g	8.7e-01 J/g







We simulated several optic configurations using RadHeat



- A reflective AI GIMM at 26 m from chamber center subjected to a KrF laser pulse and output from a 350 MJ target at 85°
- A transmissive SiO₂ Fresnel lens also at 26 m subjected to a DPSSL laser pulse and the same target output used for the GIMM
- A Fresnel lens identical to the situation above but without ion irradiation

The final case indicates what would happen if a successful ion deflection scheme were employed





The GIMM run shows that while the temperature response may seem reasonable, stresses will could be significant



 The laser pulse will generate the greatest temperature spike with a magnitude of ~ 30 degrees





Compressive surface stresses could cause the optic to yield in compression and pose a fatigue or cracking threat





The Fresnel run indicates ion irradiation will cause the silica to melt





- Laser has virtually no heating effect on transmissive optic due to the volumetric nature of energy deposition
- Reduced thermal conductivity bottles ion energy in thin deposition layer leading to surface melting



Ion deflection will therefore be needed for Fresnel optics





With ion deflection, surface temperatures of silica are much more reasonable



Advanced Technologies

Fresnel 26m 350MJ No Ions Without ion irradiation, the 605 **Fresnel lens will experience** 600 temperature spikes from x-rays similar to those the GIMM sees 595 590 s(K) Fresnel 26m 350MJ No Ions 585 580 $-5 \cdot 10^{5}$ 575 -1.10^{6} 570 1.10 7 S (Pa) 1.10^{-6} 1.10^{-5} 1.10^{-4} 1.10^{-3} 0.01 0.1 t (s) The low thermal expansion of $-1.5 \cdot 10^{6}$ silica makes it exceptionally resistant to thermal shock -2.10^{6} from the x-rays $-2.5 \cdot 10^{6}$ 1.10^{-5} 1.10^{-4} 1.10^{-6} 1.10^{-3} 1.10 7 0.1 0.01 t (s) Physics &

