

Schafer Corporation

An Employee-Owned Small Business

Schafer Laboratories

Schafer Corporation at Sandia National Laboratory 1515 Eubank SE, M.S 1196 Albuquerque, NM 87123 505 845-7762 (tel.) 505 845-7820 (fax) dgschro@sandia.gov Advanced Foam Shell Production

Laser IFE Program Workshop

Diana Schroen Jon Streit

Pleasanton, CA November 13-14, 2001







We Proposed Making Overcoated Shells Using A Two Step Approach.

 In the first step, a droplet generator produces uncoated foam shells.

GENERAL ATOM

- We have refurbished the droplet generator to accommodate the larger size spheres.
- Gelation of the oil phase (needs to occur about 15 minutes after the complex drop is formed.



DGS 11/13/01 2

Sandia National Laborator





In the Second Step, Interfacial Polymerization Produces The Polymer Overcoat.



built at the

interface.

The CO₂ is taken supercritical and vented.

DGS 11/13/01 3





GENERAL ATO



I Presented This Slide Last Time.

- We have begun gellation studies
 - Time to gelation is a function of density.
 - Clearly the lower the density the more difficult microencapsulation becomes.
 - We will vary the polymerization conditions, radical initiators, and try adding small amounts of other CH monomers.









We Have Varied All Of those Parameters.

- I still want to try one more radical initiator but it is difficult to obtain because of its high reactivity.
- The only valuable parameter is temperature.









Even at 85°C Only One 100 mg/cm³ Foam Meets the Time Requirement.







AND A FEIL IATED CO

We Tried Two Approaches To Solve This Problem.

- 1. Starting the polymerization in a method analogous to the **RF** microencapsulation procedure.
 - This helps, but the polymerization does not have the dramatic viscosity increase of RF, so results are difficult to reproduce.
- 2. Starting the overcoating chemistry at the initial microencapsulation stage. (Lloyd Brown concept)
 - The overcoating reaction is fast done in about 5 minutes.
 - We have tried this two ways
 - Run the overcoating chemistry first, the polymerize. This could be "cleaner" from an interaction point of view.
 - Partially polymerize the DVB, microencapsulate into overcoating solution, complete the polymerization.







There Are Many Potential Advantages.

• Process is much more streamlined.



- Only one step risks agglomeration.
- Disadvantages:
 - It may be more difficult to optimize for both processes simultaneously; for example, best solvent.
 - Uniformity does not look as good in these early trials.









We Need To Characterize Foam Processed With The Acid Chloride In The Organic Phase.

- We need to see if we have changed
 - the foam density (measure bulk pieces, radiograph spheres)
 - composition (CI or O added)
 - or foam structure (SEM)





Both micrographs are of 70 mg/cm³ foam. The only difference in their production was the polymerization solvent.









We Need To Characterize The Overcoat.

- To begin, we wanted to determine the intrinsic surface finish.
 - Made empty (no polymer) shell, ripped it open and laid it on a silicon wafer.
 - Need to dry capsule or bead and compare.









Progress To Date

- Studied gellation rates.
- Polymerized DVB beads
 <u>></u> 4 mm in diameter with densities down to 50 mg/cm³.
- Created overcoated polymerized beads in a single step.
 - Need to decide between two approaches, pre-polymerization or overcoat followed by polymerization.
- Need to make capsules.
 - With overcoat chemistry capsule preforms will be more stable.
 - May need to adjust density match for cooler solutions (toluene, D_2O).
- Need to characterize product, both foam and overcoat.

